10-Methoxydihydrofuscin, Fuscinarin, and Fuscin, Novel Antagonists of the Human CCR5 Receptor from *Oidiodendron griseum*

K. Yoganathan,* Christine Rossant,[†] Siewbee Ng, Yicun Huang, Mark S. Butler, and Antony D. Buss

MerLion Pharmaceuticals, 59A Science Park Drive, The Fleming, Singapore Science Park, Singapore 118240

Received March 31, 2003

Two new compounds, 10-methoxydihydrofuscin (1) and fuscinarin (2), and one known compound, fuscin (3), have been isolated from the soil fungus *Oidiodendron griseum*. These compounds were found to compete effectively with macrophage inflammatory protein (MIP)-1 α for binding to human CCR5, an important anti HIV-1 target that interferes with HIV entry into cells. The structures of these compounds were elucidated by spectroscopic methods.

Chemokines are small proteins (7–16 kDa) that act through G protein-coupled receptors to regulate a variety of physiological and pathophysiological processes.¹ The human immunodeficiency virus Type 1 (HIV-1) uses chemokine receptors (principally CCR5 and CXCR4) as coreceptors with CD4 to gain entry into target cells. The binding of the gp120 subunit of the viral envelope glycoprotein to CD4 causes a conformational change in the viral envelope glycoprotein that results in the exposure of the domain on gp120 for binding to CCR5 or CXCR4. As a consequence, the gp41 subunit inserts into the target cell membrane and the fusion of the virus with the target cell is initiated.² Therefore, a molecule that binds to the CCR5 receptor could potentially prevent HIV-1 entry into cells, making CCR5 an attractive drug target.³

An extract from the fungus *Oidiodendron griseum* (a mitosporic fungus) showed activity against CCR5 in a scintillation proximity assay (SPA). Bioassay-guided fractionation led to the isolation of two new compounds, **1** and **2**, and the known compound fuscin (**3**). Fuscin (**3**), which has been previously isolated from *O. fuscum*,⁴ O. *rhodogenum*,⁵ and *Potebniamyces gallicola*,⁶ was characterized by comparing its ¹H and MS spectral data with those in the literature.^{5,6}



Compound 1 was obtained as an oil, and its molecular formula established using negative HR-ESIMS. The IR spectrum showed characteristic absorption bands for OH (broad, 3401 cm^{-1}), lactone (1731 cm⁻¹), and aromatic ring

Table 1. 1 H (500 MHz) and 13 C (125 MHz) NMR Data for 10-Methoxydihydrofuscin (1), Fuscinarin (2), and Dihydrofuscin (4)⁶ in CD₃OD

	1		2		4 ⁶
position	¹³ C ^a	¹ H ^a (mult., <i>J</i> in Hz)	¹³ C ^a	¹ H ^a (mult., <i>J</i> in Hz)	$\overline{{}^{1}\mathrm{H}^{b}}$ (mult., J in Hz)
1a	19.2	2.67 (ddd, 16.5,	19.1	3.02 (t, 7)	2.55 (t, 6)
1b		2.78 (ddd, 16.5, 7, 7)			
2	33.6	1.84 (t, 7)	32.9	1.81 (t, 7)	1.83 (t, 6)
3	75.7		76.4		
5	135.6		138.6		
6	139.9		140.9		
7	76.9	5.71 (q, 7)	76.4	5.45 (q, 7)	5.84 (q, 7)
9	170.8	-	173.4	-	-
10	74.1	4.68 (s)			3.38 (d, 20) 3.68 (d, 20)
11	119.9		113.4		
12	118.6		132.9		
13	143.6		144.4		
14	113.2		113.8		
15	26.5	1.33 (s)	26.5	1.36 (s)	1.31 (s)
16	26.9	1.36 (s)	26.5	1.36 (s)	1.35 (s)
17	24.1	1.63 (d, 7)	19.6	1.58 (d, 7)	1.57 (d, 7)
10-OMe	58.4	3.52 (s)			

^{*a*} Assignments based on COSY, multiplicity-edited HSQC, and HMBC. ^{*b*} CD₃OD, 100 MHz; assignments based on ¹H NMR.

C=C (1624 cm⁻¹). The ¹³C NMR spectrum of **1** (Table 1) displayed 16 carbon signals: one carbonyl ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 170.8), seven other quaternary carbons, two oxymethines, two aliphatic methylenes, three methyls, and one methoxy group were identified using a multiplicity-edited HSQC⁷ NMR experiment. The ¹H NMR spectrum of **1** showed signals for two oxymethine groups ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.71, q; 4.68, s), two isolated methylene groups ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.67, ddd and 2.78, ddd; 1.84, t, 2H), one methoxy group ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.52, s), and two tertiary and one secondary methyl groups ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.33, s; 1.36, s; and 1.63, d). These groups were somewhat similar to that previously reported for dihydrofuscin (4) except for the two signals at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.52 and 4.68 in place of the isolated methylene protons at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.38 and 3.68 in 4.6 Comparison of the molecular formula of 1 with that of 4 suggested that 1 was the C-10 methoxy derivative of dihydrofuscin (4). This was confirmed by the observation of ³J HMBC correlations from 10-OMe to C-10 and from H-10 to C-9. The ¹³C NMR resonance of C-10 at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 76.9 also supported this assignment, as it was α to both an oxygen and a carbonyl function. The relative configuration between chiral centers at C-7 and C-10 was investigated by 1D ROSEY NMR experiments. Selective

10.1021/np030146m CCC: \$25.00 © 2003 American Chemical Society and American Society of Pharmacognosy Published on Web 07/23/2003

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel: (65) 6871-9720. Fax: (65) 6773-7072. E-mail: yoga@merlionpharma.com.

[†] Present address: Upstate Ltd., Cowley Rd., Cambridge CB4 ODS, UK.

refocusing of H-7 gave an enhancement to 10-OMe, while selective refocusing of H-10 gave enhancements to H-1a, H-1b, and 10-OMe. The lack of a correlation between H-7 and H-10 was not surprising given their 1,4-arrangement. Selective refocusing of 10-OMe gave a weak enhancement to H₃-17 and vice versa, which allowed a *cis* assignment between these two groups to be *tentatively* proposed.

Compound **2** had a molecular formula $C_{14}H_{16}O_5$ as indicated by its mass spectrum. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectral data (Table 1) showed that **2** resembled 10methoxydihydrofuscin (**1**) in rings A and B, but substantial changes have occurred in ring C. Although the molecular formula of **2** differed from **1** ($C_{16}H_{20}O_6$) by the absence of the methoxy and methine groups, **2** had the same degree of unsaturation as **1**. Since the NMR spectra ruled out a double bond in ring C, a reduction in ring size was implied and linkage of C-7 and C-11 via a lactone was feasible. This was supported by HMBC correlations from H-7 to C-9, C-11, and C-12, and the structure was assigned as shown. Fuscinarin (**2**) represents the first example of a new class of isobenzofuranones.

10-Methoxydihydrofuscin (1), fuscinarin (2), and fuscin (3) effectively competed with MIP-1 α for binding to human CCR5 and showed IC₅₀ values of 154, 80, and 21 μ M, respectively, in the SPA binding assay. The increased activity of fusin (3) compared to 1 and 2 may be due to the presence of the isochromene-5,9-dione unit in 3, which has multiple reactive sites. These compounds were not considered for further biological evaluation.

Experimental Section

General Experimental Procedures. General experimental procedures have been reported elsewhere.⁸

Microorganism and Fermentation. The fungal strain *O. griseum* is a soil fungus and is deposited in the MerLion Pharmaceuticals culture collection (F31027). The strain was subcultured on malt extract agar (CM057B, Oxoid) for 7 days at 24 °C. It was used to inoculate 250 mL Erlenmeyer flasks each containing 50 mL of seed medium composed of 0.4% glucose, 1% malt extract, and 0.4% yeast extract. The pH of the medium was adjusted to 5.5 before sterilization. The seed culture was incubated for 5 days at 24 °C on a rotary shaker at 200 rpm. A volume of 5 mL of seed culture was used to inoculate 50 mL flask. The liquid medium is composed of 0.4% yeast extract, 2% glucose, and 2% oatmeal. The pH was adjusted to 7.5 and autoclaved at 121 °C for 30 min. The fermentation was carried out for 9 days at 24 °C at 200 rpm.

Biological Assays. CCR5 receptor binding activity was determined in a 96-well SPA assay⁹ format using [¹²⁵I]-human MIP-1 α (Amersham Biosciences) and membranes prepared from Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells overexpressing the human CCR5 receptor. The samples were dissolved in 12.5%

aqueous DMSO and incubated with 12 μ g membranes, 0.17 nM [¹²⁵I]-MIP-1 α , and 0.25 mg of wheat germ agglutinin-SPA beads (Amersham Biosciences) in assay buffer (50 mM Hepes, 1 mM CaCl₂, 1 mM MgCl₂, 1% BSA, and a protease inhibitor cocktail) for 5 h at room temperature with shaking. Radioactivity (total binding) was measured after a 2 h bead settling period. Nonspecific binding was defined in the presence of 1 μ M recombinant human MIP-1 α (Peprotech).

Extraction and Isolation. The freeze-dried fermentation broth (2 L) was extracted three times with CH_2Cl_2 –MeOH (1:1) and evaporated to dryness under vacuum. The dry extract (3 g) was partitioned three times between hexane and 90% MeOH in H₂O (1:1). The 90% MeOH portion was adjusted to 70% with H₂O and partitioned with CH_2Cl_2 (3×). The active MeOH fraction (1.5 g) was subjected to reversed-phase preparative HPLC using isocratic elution (30% CH₃CN in 0.1% HCOOH, 10 mL/min, 58 min) to give compounds **1** (7 mg), **2** (12 mg), and fuscin (**3**) (1.5 mg). Fuscin (**3**) was characterized by comparing the ¹H and MS spectral data with those in the literature.^{5,6}

10-Methoxydihydrofuscin (1): oil; $[\alpha]^{25}_{D} - 4.4^{\circ}$ (*c* 0.66, MeOH); UV (MeOH) λ_{max} (log ϵ) 215 (4.10), 349 (3.26) nm; IR ν_{max} (NaCl, film) 3401, 2977, 1731, 1624 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CD₃OD, 500 MHz, Table 1); ¹³C NMR (CD₃OD, 125 MHz, Table 1); ESIMS (negative) *m*/*z* 307 [M - H]⁻; HRESIMS (negative) *m*/*z* 307.1158 (calcd for C₁₆H₁₉O₆, 307.1176, [M - H]⁻).

Fuscinarin (2): oil; $[\alpha]^{25}{}_{\rm D}-54^{\circ}$ (*c* 1.14, MeOH); UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\rm max}$ (log ϵ) 220 (4.17), 278 (3.66) nm; IR $\nu_{\rm max}$ (NaCl, film) 3400, 2978, 1730, 1628 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CD₃OD, 500 MHz, Table 1); ¹³C NMR (CD₃OD, 125 MHz, Table 1); ESIMS (negative) *m*/*z* 263 [M - H]⁻; HRESIMS (negative) *m*/*z* 263.0930 (calcd for C₁₄H₁₅O₅, 263.0914, [M - H]⁻).

Acknowledgment. We would like to thank GlaxoSmith-Kline, the Economic Development Board of Singapore, and the Institute of Molecular and Cell Biology for financial support.

References and Notes

- Berger, E. A.; Murphy, P. M.; Farber, J. M. Annu. Rev. Immunol. 1999, 17, 657–700. (b) Lusso, P. Virology 2000, 273, 228–240. (c) Kedzierska, K.; Crowe, S. M.; Turville, S.; Cunningham, A. L. Rev. Med. Virol. 2003, 13, 39–56.
- (2) Lehner, T. Trends Immunol. 2002, 23, 347–351. (b) Kazmierski, W. M.; Boone, L.; Lawrence, W.; Watson, C.; Kenakin, T. Current Drug Targets: Infect. Disord. 2002, 2, 265–278.
- (3) De Clercq, E. Med. Res. Rev. 2002, 22, 531-565. (b) Schwarz, M.; Wells, T. N. C.; Proudfoot, A. E. I. Recept. Channels 2001, 7, 417-428.
- (4) Michael, S. E. Biochem. J. 1948, 43, 528–531. (b) Barton, D. H. R.; Hendrickson, J. B. J. Chem. Soc. 1956, 1028–1034.
- (5) Crawley, G. C. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1981, 221-223.
- (6) Boulet, C. A.; Poulton, G. A. *Can. J. Chem.* **1983**, *61*, 2285–2286.
 (7) Parella, T.; Sánchez-Ferrando, F.; Virgili, A. *J. Magn. Reson.* **1997**,
- 126, 274–277.
 (8) Guo, B. Y.; Dai, J. R.; Ng, S. B.; Huang, Y. C.; Leong, C. Y.; Ong, W. C.; Carté, B. K. J. Nat. Prod. 2000, 63, 602–604.
- (9) Cook, N. D. Drug Discovery Today 1996, 1, 287–294.

NP030146M